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## Introduction/Summary

The Oak Knoll Storm Drain Improvements (OKSDI) project scope comprises expanding the storm drain system to relieve flooding in the Oak Knoll neighborhood. Refer to Attachment 1 for an overview of the site and the proposed work. The Preliminary Engineering Report (PER) proposed installing a detention pond on the U.S. Postal Service (USPS) property at 11900 Jollyville Road to mitigate increases in downstream discharges from the system (per Drainage Criteria Manual Section 8.3.0; DCM). During the $60 \%$ design phase, the project team identified a Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) site (VCP945) registered with the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) on an adjacent parcel to the USPS site. The VCP is associated with a historical groundwater contamination and establishes the boundary of the existing plume for legal purposes. The proximity of the plume to the USPS site would pose risks to the City of Austin (City; COA) if the pond were constructed as proposed in the PER.

As such, the purpose of this Technical Memorandum is to document the evaluation of alternative flow mitigation designs that provide equal function and reduced risks as compared to that proposed in the PER. The following two alternatives were evaluated for these purposes (see following sections for detail):

- Option 1: Pond at the USPS site. The concept of this option is that the risks of construction/ownership of a pond at the USPS site could be reduced by modifying the previous pond design to limit potential disturbances to the plume (e.g., increasing offset from the plume, installing a pond liner, etc.).

Conclusion summary: Due to the revised plume extents, it is likely that any construction activities on the USPS site will impact the contamination plume. Consequences of impacting the plume are substantial. LAN recommends that no work take place on the USPS site.

- Option 2: Alternative Location/Design. The concept of this option is that risks of construction/ ownership associated with the previous pond design at the USPS site could be reduced by installing a pond at a different location and avoiding the USPS site entirely.

Conclusion summary: Downstream flows can be effectively mitigated by improving the capacity of the Chelsea Moor Pond as well as installing an underground detention system in the Columbia Oaks Drive right-of-way (ROW). LAN recommends pursuing construction of this design.

## Mitigation Alternatives Evaluation

## Option 1: Pond at USPS Site

Refer to Attachment 2 for a detailed evaluation of risks associated with pursuing construction on the USPS site. Note that the VCP945 Response Action Plan (RAP) was recently updated to include a revised plume management zone that is larger than previously delineated. In discussions with TCEQ, we understand that the plume extents shown in this update are final and are to be imminently recorded.

The RAP presents substantial legal risks to any independent party that disturbs the area adjacent to the contamination plume. As groundwater is very shallow on the USPS site, any construction activities risk impacting the plume - thereby introducing liability to assume responsibility to remediate if impacts are confirmed. Due to the revised extents of the contamination plume, there is now insufficient space within the USPS parcel to accommodate the required pond dimensions without extending into the plume limits For these reasons, LAN recommends that no construction activities be proposed on the USPS site.

## Option 2: Alternative Location/Design

In revisiting potential locations for installation of stormwater detention infrastructure, LAN and the City collaboratively identified a potential mitigation alternative as a combination of:

- Improvements to the existing Chelsea Moor Pond (CM Pond; east of Broad Oaks Drive on Chelsea Moor/Woodcrest Drive); and/or
- Installation of an Underground Detention System (UDS) in the Columbia Oaks Drive ROW.

Refer to Attachment 3 for an overview of the proposed layout. The hydrologic and hydraulic analyses concluded that both improvements to the existing CM Pond and installation of an UDS in the Columbia Oaks ROW are needed to prohibit increases in downstream discharge from the storm drain system.

## Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses

The hydrologic analysis was computed using U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Hydrologic Engineering Center Hydrologic Modeling System (HEC-HMS; version 4.3). The model used for the current analysis was based on the model produced for the OKSDI 60\% design to evaluate the downstream impacts of the proposed system and design the detention pond at the USPS site. During the previous phase, the model was calibrated to better reflect the watershed conditions found in the InfoWorks-ICM model developed for preliminary engineering. The existing Chelsea Moor Pond and the Atlas 14 storm events (2-, 10-, 25-, and 100-year) were added to the model. The peak flow, time to peak, and volume at the outfall across Jollyville Road were evaluated for the Atlas 14 storm events storm events to identify impacts downstream. Atlas 14 depths were obtained from the National Weather Service at the project centroid. Table 1 presents the 24-hour Atlas 14 rainfall depths used for this analysis.

Table 1: Atlas 14 24-Hour Rainfall Depths

| Depth of Precipitation (inches) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-year | 10-year | 25-year | 100-year |
| 4.03 | 6.58 | 8.50 | 12.1 |

The CM Pond in the existing conditions is a one-acre pond that is maintained by the COA (within drainage easements) and detains overflow runoff from the Shadow Oaks apartment complex. Flows from the CM Pond are discharged into the Chelsea Moor ROW (in the Oak Knoll watershed). The Shadow Oaks apartment complex maintains a private pond (the Shadow Oaks pond; SOP) that is upstream of the CM Pond. The SOP discharges flows via an overflow weir to the CM Pond but otherwise discharges flow to an
adjacent watershed. This analysis assumes that the SOP is functioning as designed (see note in recommendations). The ponds were modeled using record drawings, survey data collected in July 2019, and TNRIS 2017 LiDAR data. The project team visited the site in August 2019 to evaluate existing conditions and confirm critical measurements. Photos from this site visits can be found in Attachment 4.

Since the SOP is privately owned and any modifications would require ROW acquisition, pond improvements were focused on the CM Pond. The site constraints (i.e., existing ROW, heritage trees, homeowner assets, etc.) at the CM Pond do not allow the pond to be expanded to attenuate the total required volume. Proposed improvements include increasing the capacity of the pond, connecting the outfall directly to the proposed storm sewer system, and modifying the existing rock wall to better protect adjacent homes (Note: homeowners at 11708 Broad Oaks Drive told the project team that the east wall of the CM POND has overtopped into their backyard three times in the last seven years. They also noted a dip in the wall where the pond usually overtops first - shown as Figure 4 in Attachment 4). Approximately 0.4 acre-ft of soil is proposed to be excavated from the existing pond, thereby lowering the outlet flowline by 5.5 -ft. The proposed outlet structure is a 24 -in reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) with a 20 -in collar and a 10-ft wide spillway.

Since the CM POND alone is insufficient to mitigate increases in downstream flows, other detention options were considered. There is little opportunity to acquire ROW of proportions necessary to install a new surface water detention pond due to the development density in the Oak Knoll neighborhood. As such, underground detention within existing ROW was evaluated to provide the remaining required detention volume. The Columbia Oaks Drive ROW is ideal for such installation because: it is relatively free of utilities; not on a main collector street; and, located in-line with the proposed storm drain network. In order to detain the required 0.5 acre- ft of volume, a $25-\mathrm{ft}$ wide by $5-\mathrm{ft}$ high by $250-\mathrm{ft}$ long Forterra Crown Span is proposed with an 18-in RCP discharge that re-connects with the existing system. A splitter box is proposed at the upstream junction of the UDS with the proposed storm sewer system to regulate the flow split. The 60\% design StormCAD model (updated to include the proposed UDS) confirms that proposed headlosses will not substantially influence the upstream pipe network.

Table 2: Reduction of Atlas 14 Peak Flows at the Jollyville Road Outlet

| Storm Frequency | Existing Conditions Q (cfs) | Proposed Conditions Q (cfs) | $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathbf{Q}$ (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2-\mathrm{yr}$ | 152 | 152 | -1 |
| $10-\mathrm{yr}$ | 256 | 255 | -1 |
| $25-\mathrm{yr}$ | 339 | 338 | -1 |
| $100-\mathrm{yr}$ | 502 | 492 | -10 |

The elevation-area relationships for the CM Pond and UDS are included in Attachment 5. Detailed HECHMS results are presented in Attachment 6. The construction cost for the CM Pond modifications and UDS is estimated to be $\$ 294,300$ and $\$ 1,583,100$ respectively (Total: $\$ 1,877,400$ ). The design proposed in Option 2 is compliant with COA DCM 8.3.0 criteria considering the evaluated Atlas 14 storm events (2-, 10-, 25-, and 100-year).

## Other considerations

As shown in Attachment 3, the recommended layout uses the existing storm drain network from Columbia Oaks Drive downstream to the existing network outfall with no proposed modifications. Stormwater discharged from the existing outfall flows over-land and accumulate on the USPS site prior to crossing Jollyville Road via two $6 \times 3-\mathrm{ft}$ box culverts. The USPS site is a natural topographical depression and is
partially inundated under existing conditions. As the recommended mitigation arrangement does not propose any modification to the USPS site, inundation will be unchanged by the OKSDI scope.

## Easement dedication

The Land Development Code (25.7.152) mandates that the 100-year floodplain be dedicated by easement when such area exists in property proposed for development. The City of Austin otherwise attempts to dedicate known or planned inundation by drainage easement when such extents are in the project limits. As the recommended arrangement avoids any construction on the USPS site, this inundation is not within the proposed project limits and so the City is not obligated by regulation or precedent to pursue dedicating a drainage easement for the inundated area.

## Alternative outfall

The groundwater contamination plume extends within approximately $50-\mathrm{ft}$ of the Jollyville Road box culverts. No work is proposed on the USPS site with Option 2. It is important to note that any future construction activities at the Jollyville Road box culverts would risk the same consequences described above regarding construction of Option 1. As the plume is stable and active remediation is not feasible, this restriction on construction at the culverts should be considered permanent.

For informational purposes, we reviewed the project survey data and record information to evaluate conceptual-level feasibility of abandoning the existing culverts and constructing new culverts to avoid future conflicts with the plume. Attachment 7 illustrates conceptual alternative outfall alignments and summarizes anticipated conflicts. In summary, two alternative outfall alignments appear to be feasible but would require substantial engineering efforts to confirm impacts to downstream infrastructure. For planning purposes, we approximate the cost to construct either of these alternatives as \$1,500,000 (including professional services). This scope and associated cost are not included in the recommended design.

## Recommendation and Conclusions

For the reasons described above, LAN recommends that no work be conducted at the USPS site and that the design presented as Option 2 be pursued in place of the detention pond proposed in the PER. The total estimated construction cost of the proposed arrangement is $\$ 1,877,400$. Refer to Attachment 8 for a detailed cost estimate.

Pursuing construction of the Option 2 design does not require any changes to the prior permitting requirements (refer to the PER Section 5 for greater detail). A City of Austin Site Plan Permit will be required for construction of the OKSDI scope including the Option 2 arrangement.

## Design Impacts of Atlas 14

The City had not adopted design criteria associated with Atlas 14 criteria at the onset of this project. Due to the delays in project schedule associated with VCP945, the project has now extended well past the City's adoption of interim design standards to account for Atlas 14 latest precipitation data. For that reason, LAN has evaluated what impacts the increased Atlas 14 precipitation will have on the project design.

The design proposed in Option 2 is capable of mitigating increases in downstream flows considering the Atlas 14 storm events. The storm drain improvements proposed in the PER are inadequate to convey Atlas 14 storm events and would require adding inlets and upsizing the proposed trunkline. In total, these changes would result in an approximately $\$ 500,000$ increase to the PER cost estimate.

## Note Regarding Shadow Oaks Pond

During a site-visit after a storm event, LAN observed a debris-line on the downstream side of the southeast corner of the Shadow Oaks berm - potentially indicative of a sag in the berm profile (Attachment 3, Figure 8). If this is the case, water that overtops the berm would flow towards the CM Pond and enter the Oak Knoll system instead of being conveyed to a separate watershed as designed. As noted above, the Option 2 design considers the Shadow Oaks pond berm to be of condition shown in the record drawings - which would not allow said unintentional flows into the Oak Knoll system. Because the Oak Knoll system was not designed to convey these flows, such an occurrence could contribute substantially to the flooding currently experienced in the Oak Knoll project area. Consequently, we recommended that the City request the Shadow Oaks management to verify that the berm condition and Shadows Oaks pond outfalls are functioning as designed. This would require the Shadow Oaks development provide as-built drawings or survey documentation illustrating that the current pond structures match the design plans.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or comments using the information provided
below.

Sincerely,


Laura Casset, PE, CFM
Lockwood, Andrews, \& Newnam, Inc. Project Manager E: LMCasset@LAN-inc.com
T: 5123384212

cc. Reem Zoun, PE (Reem.Zoun@austintexas.gov)

Rupali Sabnis, PE (Rupali.Sabnis@austintexas.gov)
Tom Mountz, PE (TWMountz@LAN-inc.com)
Ollie Trager, EIT (OEMTrager@LAN-inc.com)

ATTACHMENT: 1. Overall Site Layout


ATTACHMENT: 2. Option 1 - Risk Considerations Memo (Baer Engineering)

September 5, 2019<br>Lockwood, Andrews \& Newnam, Inc.<br>8911 N. Capital of Texas Hwy, Building 2, Suite 2300<br>Austin, TX 78759<br>Delivered via e-mail to WCChandler@lan-inc.com<br>Attention: Mr. William C. Chandler, P.E.<br>Reference: Environmental Risk Considerations for Stormwater Pond Installation into an Active Plume Management Zone<br>Walnut Creek Watershed Drainage Improvements<br>Oak Knoll Drive and Surrounding Streets in Austin, Texas<br>City of Austin 2015 Watershed Engineering Flood Hazard Mitigation Rotation List<br>Baer Engineering Document No. 162014-8b. 021

Dear Mr. Chandler:
Baer Engineering and Environmental Consulting, Inc. (Baer Engineering), is pleased to provide this Technical Memorandum discussing the environmental factors involved with installing a stormwater pond into an active Plume Management Zone (PMZ).

Project Information
Lockwood, Andrews, \& Newman (LAN) is in the process of designing drainage improvements for Oak Knoll Drive. Upon review of existing conditions and siting constraints for a stormwater management pond, the design team found there is potential for exposure to an existing groundwater contamination plume. One of the location options for the proposed pond is an area of undeveloped land adjacent to the U.S. Postal Service (USPS) Balcones Post Office at 11900 Jollyville Road. Much of this parcel is also included in the PMZ of a remediation project. (See
 location diagram, at right.)

## Site History

The former Columbia Scientific Industries (CSI) facility is located to the west and slightly south of the proposed pond location. The former CSI facility was constructed in 1973 and operated from 1973 until approximately 1993. During that time, CSI manufactured air monitoring instruments and other scientific equipment. Operations involved solvent degreasing of parts, acid neutralization and sand trap filtration in on-site concrete tanks, and use of various hazardous chemicals for instrument manufacture/maintenance. Small quantities of chlorinated
solvents (reportedly 10 to 70 gallons per year) were used at the property in a vapor degreaser. Solvent use ceased in 1993, and the property is currently used for office space only.

A volatile organic compound (VOC) groundwater plume was identified at the facility. The chemicals of concern (COCs) are:

- Trichloroethylene
- 1,1,2-Trichloroethane
- 1,1-Dichloroethylene (1,1-DCE)

Based on groundwater samples collected in May 2014, the plume is approximately 620 feet long, and currently extends off the former CSI property and onto the USPS property. The affected area occupies approximately 1.4 acres. The figure on the following page shows the location of the Plume Management Zone (PMZ).

That facility is currently undergoing remediation by monitored natural attenuation (MNA) in the Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP). In May of 2017, ERM (on behalf of CSI), turned in a Response Action Plan (RAP) to the TCEQ. This plan proposed a Restrictive Covenant on the area of the contaminant plume, which includes the location of the proposed detention pond. These covenants were finalized in August of 2019 and have been (or will be) recorded at the Travis County offices. A copy of the covenant is presented as Attachment 1. The main points in the covenant are:

- Defining a plume management zone (PMZ) as an area of groundwater containing concentrations of chemicals of concern exceeding the TCEQ-approved protective concentration levels in accordance with 30 TAC §350.33(f(4)).
- Establishing the PMZ on the property.
- Stating the reason for the PMZ, which is so that the chemicals of concern in the groundwater are managed such that human exposure is prevented and other groundwater resources are protected.
- Describing the maintenance and monitoring required to be conducted at the PMZ. This maintenance and monitoring must be implemented unless and until TCEQ approves some modification of those requirements.
- Identifying the record owner of fee title to the Property as the United States Postal Service (the "Owner") with an address of 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW, Washington, D.C. 20260.
- Restricting property use by stating: "Exposure to the groundwater underlying the Affected Property for any purpose is prohibited until such time when all of the chemicals of concern no longer exceed their respective protective concentration levels. The maintenance and monitoring described in Exhibit $B$ is required to be conducted by the Responder or its successors. Any modification of this restrictive covenant is prohibited without prior approval of TCEQ."
- Noting that these restrictions shall be a covenant running with the land.


## Maintenance and Monitoring

There are several monitoring wells on the property that are tested on a semi-annual basis:

| $\circ$ | $\mathrm{PO}-1$ | $\circ$ | $\mathrm{PO}-3$ | $\circ$ | $\mathrm{PO}-7$ | $\circ$ | $\mathrm{PO}-9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\circ$ | $\mathrm{PO}-2$ | $\circ$ | $\mathrm{PO}-4$ | $\circ$ | $\mathrm{PO}-8$ | $\circ$ | $\mathrm{PO}-10^{*}$ |

*Proposed future well - if required by TCEQ.
Groundwater samples are analyzed for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) by SW846 8260B.
Baer Engineering and Environmental Consulting, Inc


## Geology/Hydrology

- The Former CSI site used chlorinated solvents. Chlorinated volatile organic compounds (CVOCs), primarily 1,1-DCE, have been detected in the groundwater since the late 1990s.
- The site geology comprises a clayey to sandy soil or fill over limestone bedrock.
- Bedrock is located within three feet of the ground surface.
- The monitoring wells are 30 to 35 feet in total depth, and groundwater is shallow.
- Groundwater flow direction is generally to the east and northeast.
- The physical characteristics of the uppermost groundwater bearing unit (GWBU) are dominated by dual-porosity, both fracture and pore-driven. This architecture, combined with the dense (i.e., heavier than water) nature of the contaminant, sets up matrix diffusion that limits the mobility of the contaminant, and limits the treatability of the groundwater plume by injection or other remedial options.
- The extent of the groundwater plume has remained constant over seven years, and the 1,1-DCE concentrations continue to decrease over time.
- Monitoring will continue until the concentrations along the plume axis are below the attenuation action level, and PMZ monitoring wells show concentrations below the protective concentration levels (PCLS) for three consecutive years.
- If the area of PCL exceedance begins to migrate, the TCEQ will require additional wells on the USPS property.
- The remedy selected for the Site is a PMZ with MNA. Ongoing Site data show that this approach will continue to reduce the mass of contaminants and extent of the plume.

Risk Analysis - Pond Installation on USPS Property
There are two primary risks the City of Austin (COA) would incur by placing a pond on this property:

- Altering and/or enhancing the plume flow and being named a Responsible Party (RP) by the TCEQ; and
- Additional expenses from monitoring, waste disposal, and design.

I have tabulated some of the considerations below:

| Consideration | Discussion |
| :--- | :--- |
| The covenant says excavation <br> into the PMZ will require <br> approval from the TCEQ and <br> from the property owners. | Joe Bell, TCEQ Project Manager, said the TCEQ is unlikely to <br> turn down such a request. However, the responsible party <br> (RP) for the contamination is not required to approve. <br> Excavation in the PMZ will likely affect the plume, perhaps <br> causing it to migrate. The RP has been working to stabilize <br> this plume for close to two decades. I would not expect them <br> to approve something that invalidates part of their progress. |
| If COA digs into the plume, <br> excavates contaminated soils, <br> or causes changes in the <br> PMZ, COA will become an RP <br> for the site. | Right now, the site is controlled through the PMZ, the <br> this stability and/or generating waste with contamination from <br> the CSI site would add COA to the list of RPs. At the least, <br> COA would be responsible for mitigating the consequences of |
| If the pond is installed it will | The area of lower pressure could short-circuit natural <br> become a preferred pathway <br> groundwater flow and provide a pathway to uncontaminated |


| Consideration | Discussion |
| :---: | :---: |
| for contaminant migration. | soils. |
| Impacts to the PMZ could be minimized by moving or reshaping the pond footprint to avoid the PMZ. | Soil and/or groundwater disturbance downgradient of the plume would still be hydrologically connected to the contamination. Creating a preferred pathway could cause the plume to migrate. |
| Consider installation of a cutoff wall between the PMZ and the pond location. | This would take the form of sheet piling or a slurry wall. Installation could be difficult because of shallow bedrock. Disturbing the bedrock is likely to disturb the product lodged inside. |
| Consider lining and contouring the pond to limit groundwater interaction in the PMZ. | After the initial disturbance, infiltration to the pond could be mitigated by adding a lining. The preferred lining is clay. It may be possible to use an impermeable membrane, but that would be dependent upon the materials characteristics. It is essential that the liner material be compatible with the COCs. |
| Consider establishing a hydraulic barrier between the pond and the PMZ. | Pumping water out of the plume area will maintain the groundwater gradient towards the contaminant source. All wastewater generated becomes waste belonging to COA. Another consideration is that, by pumping out the groundwater, additional product will be mobilized out of the bedrock. Once pumping ceases it is possible that rebound will cause the COC concentrations to go up. |
| Consider installing a plume monitoring system at the same time as the pond is installed. | This would allow COA to gather data on plume encroachment. These data would allow COA to demonstrate compliance with the PMZ. The monitoring system could be as simple as placing sentinel wells around the pond. Initial, and then semi-annual monitoring, would be adequate to show plume stability with respect to COA property. |

## Additional Expenses

If COA chooses to install a stormwater pond into the PMZ, the following estimated expenses may be incurred:

| ITEM | BREAKDOWN | COST |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Procure TCEQ and RP permission to disturb plume. | 16 hours @ \$150/hr | $\$ 2,400$ |
| Prepare plume monitoring plan. | 4 hours @ \$150/hr | $\$ 600$ |
| Install three monitoring wells to 20 feet in depth. | 3 wells @ \$4,000/ea | $\$ 12,000$ |
| Semi-annual sampling three wells. | 20 hours @ \$150/hr | $\$ 3,000$ |
| Semi-annual samples, three wells, VOCs. | 6 samples @ \$225/ea | $\$ 1,350$ |
| Waste disposal. | 1 drum @ \$500/ea | $\$ 500$ |
| Sampling pond water, two samples, monthly, VOCs | 24 samples @ \$225/ea | $\$ 5,400$ |
| Reporting to COA, RP, and TCEQ | 32 hours @ \$150/hr | $\$ 4,800$ |
| Additional pond design. | Engineering | Unknown |
| Installation of pond liner. | $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 30,000$ | Varies |
| Installation of cutoff wall. | $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 30,000$ | Varies |
| Installation of hydraulic control. | 2 wells @ \$4,000/ea | $\$ 8,000$ |
| Weekly dewatering samples. | 52 samples @ \$225/ea | $\$ 11,700$ |
| Waste disposal for hydraulic control. | 20 gpm, 360 days | Varies |

These costs were used to estimate initial and annual fees, as shown in the following table.

| ITEM | INTIIAL COST | ANNUAL COST |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Procure TCEQ and RP permission to disturb plume. | $\$ 2,400$ | $\$ 300$ |
| Prepare plume monitoring plan. | $\$ 600$ | $\$ 200$ |
| Install three monitoring wells to 20 feet in depth. | $\$ 12,000$ | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Semi-annual sampling three wells, labor | $\$ 3,000$ | $\$ 3,000$ |
| Semi-annual samples, three wells, VOCs. | $\$ 1,350$ | $\$ 1,350$ |
| Waste disposal. | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 500$ |
| Sampling pond water, two samples, monthly, VOCs | $\$ 5,400$ | $\$ 5,400$ |
| Reporting to COA, RP, and TCEQ | $\$ 4,800$ | $\$ 4,800$ |
| Additional pond design. | Unknown | Unknown |
| Installation of pond liner. | Varies | Varies |
| Installation of cutoff wall. | Varies | Varies |
| Installation of hydraulic control. | $\$ 8,000$ | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Weekly dewatering samples. | $\$ 11,700$ | $\$ 2,700$ |
| Waste disposal for hydraulic control. <br> This task can be every costly. Uless we can get permission to discharge to the <br> sanitary sewer, disposal costs could be more than $\$ 1,000,000$. | Varies | Varies |
| TOTALs | $\$ 49,750.00$ | $\$ 20,250.00$ |

Assumptions:

- I used one general labor rate of $\$ 150 / \mathrm{hr}$.
- Wells will require installation by auger.
- Wells will be sampled twice per year.
- Pond will be sampled monthly for first year.
- No attorney's fees have been included.
- Costs for mitigation items (hydraulic control, slurry wall, etc.) are estimates based on minimal data and do not reflect quotes from contractors. They are not included in the overall totals.


## Overall Costs

If COA chooses to install a stormwater pond at this location, there will be a minimum initial cost of $\$ 50,000$, plus the cost of the additional engineering and controls on the pond. There will be a minimum annual cost of $\$ 20,000$ for sampling and maintenance.

Please let me know if you have questions about this letter report. I can be reached by email at rwyman@baereng.com, or by telephone at 512.585.0176.

Respectfully submitted,
Baer Engineering and Environmental Consulting, Inc.


Rosemary Wyman, P.G. (TX751), CHMM, CPESC Principal Geologist
Environmental, Health, and Safety Manager
Attachment: Restrictive Covenants
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August 2, 2019

Mr. Joe Bell
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Voluntary Cleanup Program
Corrective Action Section
12100 Park 35 Circle, Building D
Austin, TX 78753

Re: Former CSI Facility, 11950 Jollyville Road
Austin, Travis County, TX
Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) No. 945
Customer No. CN601409949, Regulated Entity No. RN100604065
Dear Mr. Bell:
Enclosed are the Restrictive Covenants to be recorded as part of the Response Action Plan for the above referenced facility. The Restrictive Covenant for 11950 Jollyville Road presents the language agreed upon with you to accommodate the property owner's concern with potential future construction activity.

Further to ERM's letter to you dated March 15, 2019 and to provide clarification consistent with the enclosed Restrictive Covenants, for the long-term monitoring of the institutional control, PO-4 and PO-9 will be monitored semi-annually as conservative points of exposure. In the event that concentrations at either monitoring well PO-4 or PO-9 exceed the attenuation action level (AAL) for the chemicals of concern, additional downgradient monitoring well(s) will be installed to monitor compliance of the PMZ within the institutional control boundary. The proposed future wells, if needed, are identified as PO-10/PO-11; the Restrictive Covenant for 11882 Jollyville Road now presents this on Exhibit B-2. The table below supersedes that presented in ERM's March 15, 2019 correspondence with the change in Exhibit number underlined.

| Description of the Potential Problem | Impact | Will this cause a response action failure? |  | Corrective Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Y | N |  |
| Monitoring well damage/collapse | Potentially unable to monitor MNA |  | X | Repair or replace the monitoring well as necessary to monitor MNA. |
| Statistical increase in COC concentration above historical maximum concentrations in one or multiple attenuation monitoring points. | Plume movement may be present or MNA is not effective. <br> RECEIME <br>  | - | X | Perform data usability evaluation to check the integrity of the data results. <br> If necessary, resample monitoring well(s) to confirm concentration. <br> If exceedance is confirmed, resample the monitoring well within 3 months to evaluate if the concentration is a one-time occurrence or a statistical trend. <br> If exceedance trend is confirmed, evaluate additional options to establish plume stability. <br> If exceedance trend is confirmed at PO-6, evaluate if an additional monitoring well is required east of the PMW. |
| MCR-CA Section |  |  |  |  |


| Detection of COCs above <br> AAL at PO-4 and PO-9 as <br> POE wells. |  | X |  | Perform data usability evaluation to check the <br> integrity of the data results. <br> If necessary, resample monitoring well to confirm <br> concentration. <br> If exceedance is confirmed at PO-4/PO-9 POE wells, <br> install a new groundwater monitoring well, PO-10/PO- <br> 11 as noted on Exhibit B-2 of the Restrictive Covenant <br> for 11882 Jollyville Road. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Detection of COCs above <br> /AAL at POE or PMZ <br> Monitoring Well (for all <br> wells except PO-4 or PO-9 <br> as POE wells). |  | X | Perform data usability evaluation to check the <br> integrity of the data results. <br> If necessary, resample monitoring well(s) to confirm <br> concentration. <br> If exceedance is confirmed, evaluate additional <br> options to establish plume stability or decreasing <br> trend. |  |

Please provide your approval to proceed with the filing of the Restrictive Covenants. If you have any questions, you may contact me at (248) 538-0190.

Very truly yours,


Paul M. Di Nardo
Associate Director, Remediation

## Enclosures

cc: Diane Bellantoni, UTC
Alicia Fogg, ERM

## TEXAS RISK REDUCTION PROGRAM RESTRICTIVE COVENANT

## STATE OF TEXAS

## COUNTY OF TRAVIS §

This Restrictive Covenant is filed to provide information concerning certain environmental conditions and use limitations pursuant to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (the "TCEQ") Texas Risk Reduction Program (the "TRRP") Rules found at 30 Texas Administrative Code ("TAC"), Chapter 350, and affects the real property (the "Property") described as follows:

LOTS THREE (3) AND FOUR (4), BLOCK B, COLUMBIA OAKS, A SUBDIVISION IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, ACCORDING TO THE MAP OR PLAT OF RECORD IN VOLUME 81, PAGE 41, PLAT RECORDS OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

Portions of the groundwater of the Property contain certain identified chemicals of concern causing those portions of the Property to be considered an Affected Property as that term is defined in the TRRP. The portion considered to be Affected Property is described as follows:

Refer to Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference for the metes and bounds description of the Affected Property, a plat map and a list of chemicals of concern by medium.

This Restrictive Covenant is required for the following reasons:
The Affected Property is subject to the TRRP requirements for properties with an area overlying a TCEQapproved plume management zone. A plume management zone is defined as an area of groundwater containing concentrations of chemicals of concern exceeding the TCEQ-approved protective concentration levels, plus any additional area allowed by the TCEQ in accordance with 30 TAC $\S 350.33(\mathrm{f})(4)$. A plume management zone was established so that the chemicals of concern in the groundwater are managed such that human exposure is prevented and other groundwater resources are protected. The attached Exhibit B provides the location and extent of the plume management zone and describes the maintenance and monitoring required to be conducted by Responder or its successors. This maintenance and monitoring must be implemented unless and until TCEQ approves some modification of those requirements.

As of the date of this Restrictive Covenant, the record owner of fee title to the Property is United States Postal Service (the "Owner") with an address of 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW, Washington, D.C. 20260. In consideration of the Response Actions by Kidde Fire Protection Inc. on behalf of Forney Corporation (the "Responder"), approval of the Response Action Plan, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Owner has agreed to place the following restrictions on the Property in favor of the TCEQ and the State of Texas, to-wit:

1. Plume Management Zone: Exposure to the groundwater underlying the Affected Property for any purpose is prohibited until such time when all of the chemicals of concern no longer exceed their respective protective concentration levels. The maintenance and monitoring described in Exhibit B is required to be conducted by the Responder or its successors. Any modification of this restrictive covenant is prohibited without prior approval of TCEQ.
2. These restrictions shall be a covenant running with the land.

For additional information, contact:
TCEQ Central Records
12100 Park 35 Circle
Building E
Austin, Texas 78753
Mail: TCEQ-MC 199
P.O. Box 13087

Austin, TX 78711-3087
TCEQ Program and Identifier No.: VCP No. 945
This Restrictive Covenant may be rendered of no further force or effect only by a release executed by the TCEQ or its successor agencies and filed in the same Real Property Records as those in which this Restrictive Covenant is filed.

Executed this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2019. OWNER:

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By: $\qquad$
Name:
Title: $\qquad$
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF GUILFORD
BEFORE ME, on this the ___ day of $\quad 2019$, personally appeared of United States Postal Service, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and he acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE,
this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2019.

Notary Public in and for the
State of North Carolina
County of Guilford
My Commission Expires: $\qquad$

Executed this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2019. RESPONDER:

## FORNEY CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation

By: Kidde Fire Protection Inc., a Delaware corporation, its agent

By: Name: Title:
$\qquad$
COUNTY OF
BEFORE ME, on this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2019, personally appeared of Kidde Fire Protection Inc., a Delaware corporation, as agent of Forney Corporation, a Delaware corporation, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and he acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE, this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2019.

Notary Public in and for the State of $\qquad$ County of $\qquad$
My Commission Expires:
$\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2019.

## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY,

$\mathrm{By}:$ Name:
Title: $\qquad$

## STATE OF TEXAS

## BEFORE ME, on this the

$\qquad$ of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and he acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE, this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2019.

Notary Public in and for the State of Texas County of
My Commission Expires:

## EXHIBIT A

Exhibit A-1: Legal Description of the Affected Property
Exhibit A-2: Plat Map of the Affected Property
Exhibit A-3: Chemicals of Concern

## Exhibit A-1

## Legal Description of the Affected Property

[see attached]

## PERIMETER DESCRIPTION

DESCRIPTION OF A 4.55 ACRE TRACT OF LAND LOCATED IN THE JAMES D. GOODE SURVEY, SECTION 30, ABSTRACT NO. 307, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, BEING SITUATED IN LOT 1, LOT 3 AND LOT 4, BLOCK B, OF COLUMBIA OAK, SUBDIVISION OF RECORD IN VOLUME 81, PAGE 41, OF THE PLAT RECORDS TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, SAID 4.55 ACRE TRACT, AS DEPICTED ON THE ACCOMPANYING EXHIBIT WHICH IS A PART HEREOF, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED BY METES AND BOUNDS AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING at a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found for the southeast corner of Lot 1 , of said Columbia Oaks, Block B, also being the southwest corner of Lot 4, Block B of said Columbia Oaks and in the north margin of Woodcrest Drive (50' R.O.W.) of Woodcrest recorded in Volume 81, Page 55 ; Plat Records of Travis County, Texas

THENCE North $60^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 12^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ West, over and across said Lot 1 , for a distance of 259.68 feet to a calculated point at the POINT OF BEGINNING of the herein described tract, said point being on the arc of a non-tangent curve to the right;

THENCE over and across said Lots 1 and 3 the following thirty-three (33) courses and distances:

1. Along said curve to the right an arc distance of 190.59 feet, said curve having a central angle of $02^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of $5,137.21$ feet and whose chord bears North $61^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 190.58 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
2. Continuing along the curve to the right an arc distance of 188.43 feet, said curve having a central angle of $02^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of $3,873.80$ feet and whose chord bears North $60^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 188.41 feet to a calculated point for point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
3. Continuing along the curve to the right an arc distance of 9.67 feet, said curve having a central angle of $74^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 7.42 feet and whose chord bears North $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 9.00 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
4. Continuing along the curve to the right an arc distance of 24.94 feet, said curve having a central angle of $17^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 79.71 feet and whose chord bears North $26^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 24.84 feet to a calculated point for the curve herein described;
5. North $31^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 11.65 feet to an existing monitor well and the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
6. Continuing along the curve to the right an arc distance of 120.75 feet, said curve having a central angle of $07^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 909.53 feet and whose chord bears North $47^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 120.66 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
7. Continuing along the curve to the right an arc distance of 49.94 feet, said curve having a central angle of $11^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 242.14 feet and whose chord bears North $59^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 49.85 feet to an existing monitor well and the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
8. Continuing along the curve to the right an arc distance of 178.40 feet, said curve having a central angle of $17^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 598.60 feet and whose chord bears North $78^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 177.74 feet to an existing monitor well and the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
9. Continuing along the curve to the right an arc distance of 82.62 feet, said curve having a central angle of $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 570.36 feet and whose chord bears South $88^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 82.54 feet to a calculated point for the end of the curve herein described;
10. South $87^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 22.69 feet to the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the left, also from which a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for an interior angle point of said Lot 1, also being the southeast corner of Lot 2, Block B of said Columbia Oaks bears North $14^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 34.65 feet;
11. Continuing along the curve to the left, an arc distance of 78.25 feet, said curve having a central angle of $21^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 209.62 feet and whose chord bears North $79^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ East, for a distance of 77.80 feet to end of the curve herein described and from which an existing monitor well bears South $71^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 37.98 feet;
12. North $69^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 108.23 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the left;
13. Continuing along a curve to the left, an arc distance of 36.49 feet, said curve having a central angle of $16^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 130.00 feet and whose chord bears North $60^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, for a distance of 36.37 feet to a calculated point for the end of the curve herein described;
14. North $52^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 37.04 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the left;
15. Continuing along a curve to the left, an arc distance of 38.51 feet, said curve having a central angle of $12^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 174.92 feet and whose chord bears North $59^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 38.43 feet to a calculated point for the end of the curve herein described;
16. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 66.81 feet, said curve having a central angle of $32^{\circ} 56^{\circ} 55^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 116.18 feet and whose chord bears North $83^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 65.89 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
17. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 24.28 feet, said curve having a central angle of $34^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 40.91 feet and whose chord bears South $62^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 23.92 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
18. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 59.83 feet, said curve having a central angle of $15^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 216.50 feet and whose chord bears South $32^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 59.64 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
19. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 48.65 feet, said curve having a central angle of $18^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 150.50 feet and whose chord bears South $19^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 48.44 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
20. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 46.58 feet, said curve having a central angle of $24^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 110.65 feet and whose chord bears South $01^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 46.23 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
21. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 47.59 feet, said curve having a central angle of $38^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 71.74 feet and whose chord bears South $34^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 46.72 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
22. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 20.14 feet, said curve having a central angle of $12^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 91.12 feet and whose chord bears South $61^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 20.10 feet to a calculated point for the end of the curve herein descried;
23. South $66^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, a distance of 56.52 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right from which an existing monitor well bears North $04^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance 102.85 feet;
24. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 103.23 feet, said curve having a central angle of $000^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of $16,582.03$ feet and whose chord bears South $67^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 103.22 feet to a calculated point for the end of the curve herein described;
25. South $69^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 18.30 feet to a calculated point;
26. South $67^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 41.72 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the left;
27. Continuing along a curve to the left, an arc distance of 48.43 feet, said curve having a central angle of $17^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 162.55 feet and whose chord bears South $56^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 48.25 feet to a calculated point for the of the curve herein described;
28. South $47^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 83.70 feet to a calculated point;
29. South $47^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 81.83 feet to a calculated point;
30. South $47^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 43.28 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
31. Continuing along of a curve to the right, an arc distance of 30.71 feet, said curve having a central angle of $10^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 160.00 feet and whose chord bears South $50^{\circ} 55$ ' $21^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 30.67 feet to the end of the curve herein described and an existing monitor well;
32. South $50^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, a distance of 7.98 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
33. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 29.41 feet, said curve having a central angle of $63^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 26.68 feet and whose chord bears South $83^{\circ} 377^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 27.94 feet to . POINT OF BEGINNING, and containing 4.55 acres of land, more or less;

All bearings are based on the Texas State Plane Coordinate System, Central Zone, NAD 83.
This property description is accompanied by

SURVEYING AND MAPPING, LLC
 Austin, Texas 78735
TX Firm Registration No. 10064300
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## Exhibit A-3

## Chemicals of Concern

## Trichloroethylene

1,1-Dichloroethylene
1,1,2-Trichloroethane

## EXHIBIT B

Exhibit B-1: Location and Extent of Plume Management Zone
Exhibit B-2: Required Maintenance and Monitoring

## Exhibit B-1

## Location and Extent of Plume Management Zone

[see attached]


## Exhibit B-2

## Required Maintenance and Monitoring

| Monitor Well ID | Monitoring Frequency | Laboratory Parameters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PO-1 | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |
| PO-2 | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |
| PO-3 | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |
| PO-4 | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |
| PO-7 | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |
| PO-8 | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |
| PO-9 | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |
| PO-10* | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |
| PO-11* | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |

NOTES:
VOC $=$ Volatile Organic Compound

* = Proposed future well, if required by TCEQ


# TEXAS RISK REDUCTION PROGRAM RESTRICTIVE COVENANT 

STATE OF TEXAS §

## COUNTY OF TRAVIS §

This Restrictive Covenant is filed to provide information concerning certain environmental conditions and use limitations pursuant to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (the "TCEQ") Texas Risk Reduction Program (the "TRRP") Rules found at 30 Texas Administrative Code ("TAC"), Chapter 350, and affects the real property (the "Property") described as follows:

LOT 1, BLOCK B, COLUMBIA OAKS, A SUBDIVISION IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, ACCORDING TO THE MAP OR PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN VOLUME 81 PAGE 41 OF THE PLAT RECORDS OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

Portions of the groundwater of the Property contain certain identified chemicals of concern causing those portions of the Property to be considered an Affected Property as that term is defined in the TRRP. The portion considered to be Affected Property is described as follows:

Refer to Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference for the metes and bounds description of the Affected Property, a plat map and a list of chemicals of concern by medium.

This Restrictive Covenant is required for the following reasons:
The Affected Property is subject to the TRRP requirements for properties with an area overlying a TCEQapproved plume management zone. A plume management zone is defined as an area of groundwater containing concentrations of chemicals of concern exceeding the TCEQ approved protective concentration levels, plus any additional area allowed by the TCEQ in accordance with 30 TAC $\S 350.33(\mathrm{f})(4)$. A plume management zone was established so that the chemicals of concern in the groundwater are managed such that human exposure is prevented and other groundwater resources are protected. The attached Exhibit B provides the location and extent of the plume management zone and describes the maintenance and monitoring required to be conducted by Responder or its successors. This maintenance and monitoring must be implemented unless and until TCEQ approves some modification of those requirements.

As of the date of this Restrictive Covenant, the record owner of fee title to the Property is Harris Dabney, Ltd., a Texas limited partnership (the "Owner"), with an address of 8150 North Central Expressway, Suite 750, Dallas, Texas, 75206-1826. In consideration of the Response Actions by Kidde Fire Protection Inc. on behalf of Forney Corporation (the "Responder"), approval of the Response Action Plan, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Owner has agreed to place the following restrictions on the Property in favor of the TCEQ and the State of Texas, to-wit:

1. Plume Management Zone: Exposure to the groundwater underlying the Affected Property for any purpose is prohibited, except for exposure to the groundwater from construction conducted in accordance with an acceptable soil and groundwater management plan, or until such time when all the chemicals of concern no longer exceed their respective protective concentration levels. The soil and groundwater management plan must receive prior written approval from TCEQ before any construction may begin. Groundwater encountered during activities approved by the TCEQ must be managed in accordance with local, State, and Federal
regulations. The maintenance and monitoring described in Exhibit B is required to be conducted by the Responder or its successors. Any modification of this restrictive covenant is prohibited without prior approval of TCEQ.
2. These restrictions shall be a covenant running with the land.

For additional information, contact:
TCEQ Central Records
12100 Park 35 Circle
Building E
Austin, Texas 78753
Mail: TCEQ - MC 199
P.O. Box 13087

Austin, TX 78711-3087
TCEQ Program and Identifier No.: VCP No. 945
This Restrictive Covenant may be rendered of no further force or effect only by a release executed by the TCEQ or its successor agencies and filed in the same Real Property Records as those in which this Restrictive Covenant is filed.
[Signature pages follow.]

Executed this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2019. OWNER:

# HARRIS DABNEY, LTD., 

a Texas limited partnership

By: Harris, Dabney, Brinker, L.L.C., a Texas limited liability company, its general partner

Scott Dabney, President
STATE OF TEXAS §
COUNTY OF DALLAS
BEFORE ME, on this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2019, personally appeared Scott Dabney, President of Harris, Dabney, Brinker, L.L.C., a Texas limited liability company, the general partner of Harris Dabney, Ltd., a Texas limited partnership, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and he acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE, this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2019.

Notary Public in and for the State of Texas County of Dallas
My Commission Expires: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2019. RESPONDER:

FORNEY CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation

By: Kidde Fire Protection Inc., a Delaware corporation, its agent

By:
Name:
Title: $\qquad$

STATE OF
COUNTY OF $\qquad$ §

BEFORE ME, on this the day of $\quad$ 2019, personally appeared of Kidde Fire Protection Inc., a Delaware corporation, as agent of Forney Corporation, a Delaware corporation, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and he acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE, this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2019.

Notary Public in and for the State of $\qquad$ County of $\qquad$ My Commission Expires:
$\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2019.

## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY,

## By:

Name:
Title:

## STATE OF TEXAS §

COUNTY OF TRAVIS §
BEFORE ME, on this the day of $\quad 2019$, personally appeared be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and he acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE,
this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2019.

Notary Public in and for the State of Texas County of
My Commission Expires:

## EXHIBIT A

Exhibit A-1: Legal Description of the Affected Property
Exhibit A-2: Plat Map of the Affected Property
Exhibit A-3: Chemicals of Concern

## Exhibit A-1

## Legal Description of the Affected Property

[see attached]

## PERIMETER DESCRIPTION

DESCRIPTION OF A 4.55 ACRE TRACT OF LAND LOCATED IN THE JAMES D. GOODE SURVEY, SECTION 30, ABSTRACT NO. 307, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, BEING SITUATED IN LOT 1, LOT 3 AND LOT 4, BLOCK B, OF COLUMBIA OAK, SUBDIVISION OF RECORD IN VOLUME 81, PAGE 41, OF THE PLAT RECORDS TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS, SAID 4.55 ACRE TRACT, AS DEPICTED ON THE ACCOMPANYING EXHIBIT WHICH IS A PART HEREOF, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED BY METES AND BOUNDS AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING at a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found for the southeast corner of Lot 1 , of said Columbia Oaks, Block B, also being the southwest corner of Lot 4, Block B of said Columbia Oaks and in the north margin of Woodcrest Drive (50' R.O.W.) of Woodcrest recorded in Volume 81, Page 55 ; Plat Records of Travis County, Texas

THENCE North $60^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 12^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ West, over and across said Lot 1 , for a distance of 259.68 feet to a calculated point at the POINT OF BEGINNING of the herein described tract, said point being on the arc of a non-tangent curve to the right;

THENCE over and across said Lots 1 and 3 the following thirty-three (33) courses and distances:

1. Along said curve to the right an arc distance of 190.59 feet, said curve having a central angle of $02^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of $5,137.21$ feet and whose chord bears North $61^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 190.58 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
2. Continuing along the curve to the right an arc distance of 188.43 feet, said curve having a central angle of $02^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of $3,873.80$ feet and whose chord bears North $60^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 188.41 feet to a calculated point for point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
3. Continuing along the curve to the right an arc distance of 9.67 feet, said curve having a central angle of $74^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 7.42 feet and whose chord bears North $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 9.00 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
4. Continuing along the curve to the right an arc distance of 24.94 feet, said curve having a central angle of $17^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 79.71 feet and whose chord bears North $26^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 24.84 feet to a calculated point for the curve herein described;
5. North $31^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 11.65 feet to an existing monitor well and the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
6. Continuing along the curve to the right an arc distance of 120.75 feet, said curve having a central angle of $07^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 909.53 feet and whose chord bears North $47^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 120.66 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
7. Continuing along the curve to the right an arc distance of 49.94 feet, said curve having a central angle of $11^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 242.14 feet and whose chord bears North $59^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 49.85 feet to an existing monitor well and the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
8. Continuing along the curve to the right an arc distance of 178.40 feet, said curve having a central angle of $17^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 598.60 feet and whose chord bears North $78^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 177.74 feet to an existing monitor well and the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
9. Continuing along the curve to the right an arc distance of 82.62 feet, said curve having a central angle of $08^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 570.36 feet and whose chord bears South $88^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 82.54 feet to a calculated point for the end of the curve herein described;
10. South $87^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 22.69 feet to the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the left, also from which a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for an interior angle point of said Lot 1, also being the southeast corner of Lot 2, Block B of said Columbia Oaks bears North $14^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 34.65 feet;
11. Continuing along the curve to the left, an arc distance of 78.25 feet, said curve having a central angle of $21^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 209.62 feet and whose chord bears North $79^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ East, for a distance of 77.80 feet to end of the curve herein described and from which an existing monitor well bears South $71^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 37.98 feet;
12. North $69^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 108.23 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the left;
13. Continuing along a curve to the left, an arc distance of 36.49 feet, said curve having a central angle of $16^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 130.00 feet and whose chord bears North $60^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, for a distance of 36.37 feet to a calculated point for the end of the curve herein described;
14. North $52^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 37.04 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the left;
15. Continuing along a curve to the left, an arc distance of 38.51 feet, said curve having a central angle of $12^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 174.92 feet and whose chord bears North $59^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 38.43 feet to a calculated point for the end of the curve herein described;
16. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 66.81 feet, said curve having a central angle of $32^{\circ} 56^{\circ} 55^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 116.18 feet and whose chord bears North $83^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 65.89 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
17. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 24.28 feet, said curve having a central angle of $34^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 40.91 feet and whose chord bears South $62^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 23.92 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
18. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 59.83 feet, said curve having a central angle of $15^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 216.50 feet and whose chord bears South $32^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 59.64 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
19. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 48.65 feet, said curve having a central angle of $18^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 150.50 feet and whose chord bears South $19^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 48.44 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
20. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 46.58 feet, said curve having a central angle of $24^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 110.65 feet and whose chord bears South $01^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 46.23 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
21. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 47.59 feet, said curve having a central angle of $38^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 71.74 feet and whose chord bears South $34^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 46.72 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
22. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 20.14 feet, said curve having a central angle of $12^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 91.12 feet and whose chord bears South $61^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 20.10 feet to a calculated point for the end of the curve herein descried;
23. South $66^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ W, a distance of 56.52 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right from which an existing monitor well bears North $04^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance 102.85 feet;
24. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 103.23 feet, said curve having a central angle of $000^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of $16,582.03$ feet and whose chord bears South $67^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 103.22 feet to a calculated point for the end of the curve herein described;
25. South $69^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 18.30 feet to a calculated point;
26. South $67^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 41.72 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the left;
27. Continuing along a curve to the left, an arc distance of 48.43 feet, said curve having a central angle of $17^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 162.55 feet and whose chord bears South $56^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 48.25 feet to a calculated point for the of the curve herein described;
28. South $47^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 83.70 feet to a calculated point;
29. South $47^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 81.83 feet to a calculated point;
30. South $47^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 43.28 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
31. Continuing along of a curve to the right, an arc distance of 30.71 feet, said curve having a central angle of $10^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 160.00 feet and whose chord bears South $50^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 30.67 feet to the end of the curve herein described and an existing monitor well;
32. South $50^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ W, a distance of 7.98 feet to a calculated point for the point of curvature of a non-tangent curve to the right;
33. Continuing along a curve to the right, an arc distance of 29.41 feet, said curve having a central angle of $63^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$, a radius of 26.68 feet and whose chord bears South $83^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ West, a distance of 27.94 feet to . POINT OF BEGINNING, and containing 4.55 acres of land, more or less;

All bearings are based on the Texas State Plane Coordinate System, Central Zone, NAD 83.
This property description is accompanied by

SURVEYING AND MAPPING, LLC


Austin, Texas 78735
TX Firm Registration No. 10064300


Registered Professional Land Surveyor
No. 5642 - State of Texas

## Exhibit A-2

Plat Map of the Affected Property
[see attached]
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## Exhibit A-3

## Chemicals of Concern

Trichloroethylene
1,1-Dichloroethylene
1,1,1-Trichloroethane

1,1,2-Trichloroethane

## EXHIBIT B

Exhibit B-1: Location and Extent of Plume Management Zone
Exhibit B-2: Required Maintenance and Monitoring

## Exhibit B-1

## Location and Extent of Plume Management Zone

[see attached]


## Exhibit B-2

## Required Maintenance and Monitoring

| Monitor Well ID | Monitoring Frequency | Laboratory Parameters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MW-1 | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |
| MW-5 | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |
| MW-7 | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |
| MW-8 | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |
| MW-14 | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |
| MW-15 | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |
| MW-16 | Semi-annual | VOCs by SW846 8260B |

NOTES:
VOC $=$ Volatile Organic Compound

ATTACHMENT: 3. Option 2 - Proposed Layout


> ATTACHMENT: 4. Site Visit Photos


Figure 1: Existing Conditions Chelsea Moor Pond Outfall, August 2019


Figure 2: Existing Conditions Chelsea Moor Pond Looking Upstream from Pond Outfall, August 2019


Figure 3: Existing Conditions Chelsea Moor Pond Heritage Trees West of Outfall, August 2019


Figure 4: Existing Conditions Chelsea Moor Pond, Low Point in East Corner of Wall, August 2019


Figure 5: Existing Conditions Chelsea Moor Pond, Wall Near 11708 Briar Oaks Drive, August 2019


Figure 6: Existing Conditions Chelsea Moor Pond Berm on East Side, August 2019


Figure 7: Existing Conditions Shadow Oaks Pond Spillway, August 2019


Figure 8: Debris Line Seen Behind Outfall at Shadow Oaks Water Quality Pond, Storm Event August 2016


Figure 9: Depth of Water in Shadow Oaks Water Quality Pond, Storm Event August 2016


Figure 10: Depth of Water in Shadow Oaks Detention Pond (not full), Storm Event August 2016

ATTACHMENT: 5. Elevation Area Relationships

Chelsea Moor Pond Elevation-Area Relationship

| Existing |  | Proposed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elevation (ft) | Area (ac) | Elevation (ft) | Area (ac) |
|  |  | 931 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 932 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 933 | 0.02 |
|  |  | 934 | 0.04 |
|  |  | 935 | 0.08 |
| 936.5 | 0.01 | 936 | 0.11 |
| 937 | 0.05 | 937 |  |
| 938 | 0.24 | 938 | 0.16 |
| 939 | 0.56 | 939 | 0.56 |
| 940 | 1.11 | 940 | 1.11 |
| 940.5 | 1.13 | 940.5 | 1.13 |

Propsed Underground Detention at Columbia Oaks Drive
Elevation-Area Relationship

| Elevation (ft) | Area (ac) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 902 | 0.13 |
| 903 | 0.13 |
| 904 | 0.13 |
| 905 | 0.13 |
| 906 | 0.13 |

## ATTACHMENT: 6. HEC-HMS Results

| EXISTING ULTIMATE HEC-HMS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HMS Node | AREA | $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{25}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{100}$ |
|  | (sq mi) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) |
| A-1-01 | 0.03421 | 44.4 | 78 | 103.3 | 150.6 |
| A-1-02a | 0.02108 | 28.3 | 49.4 | 65.4 | 95.2 |
| A-1-02b | 0.00168 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 9.3 |
| A-1-02c | 0.00242 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 8.7 | 12.6 |
| A-1-03 | 0.00352 | 5.7 | 9.7 | 12.7 | 18.4 |
| A-1-04 | 0.01715 | 28.1 | 49.1 | 64.9 | 94.4 |
| A-1-05 | 0.00725 | 15.6 | 26.1 | 34.1 | 49 |
| A-1-06 | 0.00423 | 9.2 | 15.6 | 20.3 | 29.2 |
| A-2 | 0.00731 | 9.6 | 16.8 | 22.2 | 32.2 |
| A-3-01 | 0.01438 | 22 | 38.5 | 51 | 74.4 |
| A-3-02 | 0.00080 | 1.9 | 3 | 3.9 | 5.6 |
| A-4 | 0.00703 | 12.4 | 21.5 | 28.4 | 41.3 |
| A-5 | 0.04140 | 58.8 | 100 | 131.1 | 189.3 |
| A-6 | 0.00309 | 6.8 | 11.4 | 14.9 | 21.4 |
| Briar Oak Outs | 0.00000 | 7.7 | 15.6 | 23.8 | 58.4 |
| Briar Oaks Div | 0.03249 | 20.1 | 38.4 | 60.2 | 147.5 |
| Chelsea Moor Pond | 0.02108 | 16.9 | 34.3 | 55.3 | 167.3 |
| D-A-04-1 | 0.05821 | 0 | 18.1 | 50.6 | 137.9 |
| D-A-3 | 0.04767 | 6.6 | 36.6 | 62.5 | 156.4 |
| D-A-4 | 0.05470 | 0 | 9.5 | 39.3 | 129.1 |
| J-A-04-1 | 0.05821 | 54.5 | 93.1 | 125.6 | 212.9 |
| J-A-04-2 | 0.07537 | 80.5 | 133.4 | 179.2 | 271.7 |
| J-A-1-03 | 0.00352 | 5.7 | 9.7 | 12.7 | 18.4 |
| J-A-1-06 | 0.08262 | 91.2 | 149.8 | 194.9 | 294.8 |
| J-A-2-1 | 0.02518 | 19.6 | 39.7 | 63.9 | 178.9 |
| JJ-A-2-2 | 0.03249 | 20.1 | 38.4 | 60.2 | 147.5 |
| J-A-3 | 0.04767 | 40.6 | 70.6 | 96.5 | 190.4 |
| J-A-4 | 0.05470 | 49 | 84.5 | 114.3 | 204.1 |
| Jollyville Road Outlet | 0.13134 | 152.2 | 255.5 | 339 | 502 |
| R-1-03 | 0.03249 | 20.1 | 38.4 | 60.2 | 147.5 |
| R-A-1-02-B | 0.02518 | 19.6 | 39.6 | 63.9 | 178.7 |
| R-A-1-04-1 | 0.00000 | 49 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| R-A-1-04-1B | 0.05821 | 0 | 18.1 | 50.6 | 138.1 |
| R-A-1-04-2 | 0.00000 | 54.5 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| R-A-1-05 | 0.07537 | 80.4 | 133.4 | 179.1 | 271.6 |
| R-A-1-06 | 0.08262 | 91.1 | 149.7 | 194.9 | 294.7 |
| R-A-3B | 0.04767 | 6.5 | 36.5 | 62.5 | 155.9 |
| R-A-4-01 | 0.00000 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| R-A-4-02 | 0.00352 | 5.7 | 9.7 | 12.7 | 18.4 |
| R-A-4B | 0.05470 | 0 | 9.4 | 39.2 | 128.5 |
| Shadow Oaks Out | 0.03421 | 20.2 | 32.5 | 38.2 | 40.4 |
| Shadow Oaks Overflow | 0.00000 | 0 | 0 | 6.3 | 96.3 |
| Shadow Oaks Pond | 0.03421 | 20.2 | 32.5 | 38.2 | 40.4 |


| PROPOSED ULTIMATE HEC-HMS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HMS Node | AREA | $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{25}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{100}$ |
| HMS Node | (sq mi) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) |
| A-1-01 | 0.03421 | 44.4 | 78 | 103.3 | 150.6 |
| A-1-02a | 0.02108 | 28.3 | 49.4 | 65.4 | 95.2 |
| A-1-02b | 0.00168 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 9.3 |
| A-1-02c | 0.00242 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 8.7 | 12.6 |
| A-1-03 | 0.00352 | 6.2 | 10.6 | 14 | 20.2 |
| A-1-04 | 0.01715 | 28.1 | 49.1 | 64.9 | 94.4 |
| A-1-05 | 0.00725 | 15.6 | 26.1 | 34.1 | 49 |
| A-1-06 | 0.00423 | 9.2 | 15.6 | 20.3 | 29.2 |
| A-2 | 0.00731 | 10.1 | 17.6 | 23.3 | 33.9 |
| A-3-01 | 0.01438 | 22 | 38.5 | 51 | 74.4 |
| A-3-02 | 0.00080 | 1.9 | 3 | 3.9 | 5.6 |
| A-4 | 0.00703 | 12.4 | 21.5 | 28.4 | 41.3 |
| A-5 | 0.04140 | 58.8 | 100 | 131.1 | 189.3 |
| A-6 | 0.00309 | 6.8 | 11.4 | 14.9 | 21.4 |
| Chelsea Moor Pond Prop | 0.02108 | 23.5 | 30.7 | 33.3 | 35.5 |
| Columbia Oaks Pond | 0.07295 | 10.8 | 14.2 | 13.7 | 12 |
| D-A-4 | 0.07295 | 26.2 | 41.2 | 43.6 | 42.2 |
| J-A-04-1 | 0.05580 | 69.7 | 113.8 | 145.5 | 211.4 |
| J-A-04-2 | 0.07295 | 80.8 | 134.2 | 178.7 | 262 |
| J-A-1-03-1 | 0.04445 | 54.5 | 86.3 | 108.3 | 189.4 |
| J-A-1-03-2 | 0.04797 | 59.5 | 95.1 | 120.2 | 196.7 |
| J-A-1-05 | 0.08020 | 86.7 | 145.7 | 197.9 | 295 |
| J-A-2-1 | 0.02276 | 25 | 32.8 | 36 | 35.5 |
| J-A-2-2 | 0.03007 | 34.2 | 49.5 | 58.9 | 148.8 |
| J-A-3 | 0.00080 | 1.9 | 3 | 3.9 | 5.6 |
| J-A-3-01 | 0.01438 | 22 | 38.5 | 51 | 74.4 |
| J-A-4 | 0.00783 | 13.8 | 23.9 | 31.5 | 45.8 |
| Jollyville Road Outlet | 0.12892 | 151.7 | 255 | 337.7 | 492.2 |
| R-1-03-01 | 0.03007 | 34.2 | 49.5 | 58.9 | 148.5 |
| R-1-03-02 | 0.04445 | 54.5 | 86.2 | 108.2 | 188.5 |
| R-A-1-02-B | 0.02276 | 25 | 32.8 | 36 | 35.5 |
| R-A-1-04-1 | 0.00783 | 13.8 | 23.9 | 31.5 | 45.8 |
| R-A-1-04-2 | 0.05580 | 69.6 | 113.7 | 145.5 | 211.2 |
| R-A-1-05-A | 0.00000 | 70 | 120 | 165 | 250 |
| R-A-1-05-B | 0.07295 | 80.8 | 134.2 | 178.7 | 262 |
| R-A-1-06 | 0.08020 | 86.7 | 145.6 | 197.5 | 294.8 |
| R-A-3-01 | 0.01438 | 21.9 | 38.4 | 50.9 | 74.1 |
| R-A-4-01 | 0.00080 | 1.8 | 3 | 3.9 | 5.6 |
| R-A-4-02 | 0.04797 | 59.4 | 95 | 120.1 | 196 |
| Shadow Oaks Out | 0.03421 | 20.2 | 32.5 | 38.2 | 40.4 |
| Shadow Oaks Overflow | 0.00000 | 0 | 0 | 6.3 | 96.3 |
| Shadow Oaks Pond | 0.03421 | 20.2 | 32.5 | 38.2 | 40.4 |
| R-A-1-02-A | 0.00000 | - | - | - | 123 |
| Briar Oak Outs | 0.01139 | $\square$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 44.8 |
| Briar Oaks Div | 0.00000 | $\square$ | - | $\square$ | 113.2 |

EXISTING ULTIMATE

| HYDROLOGIC RESULTS | PEAK FLOW (CFS) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2-YR | $\mathbf{1 0}$-YR | 25-YR | 100-YR |
| A-1-01 | 44 | 78 | 103 | 151 |
| A-1-02a | 28 | 49 | 65 | 95 |
| A-1-02b | 3 | 5 | 6 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-1-02 \mathrm{c}$ | 4 | 7 | 9 | 13 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-1-03$ | 6 | 10 | 13 | 18 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-1-04$ | 28 | 49 | 65 | 94 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-1-05$ | 16 | 26 | 34 | 49 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-1-06$ | 9 | 16 | 20 | 29 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-2$ | 10 | 17 | 22 | 32 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-3-01$ | 22 | 39 | 51 | 74 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-3-02$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-4$ | 12 | 22 | 28 | 41 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-5$ | 59 | 100 | 131 | 189 |
| JOLLYVILLE ROAD OUTLET | 152 | 256 | 339 | 502 |

PROPOSED ULTIMATE

| HYDROLOGIC RESULTS | PEAK FLOW (CFS) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2-YR | $\mathbf{1 0}$-YR | 25-YR | 100-YR |
| A-1-01 | 44 | 78 | 103 | 151 |
| A-1-02a | 28 | 49 | 65 | 95 |
| A-1-02b | 3 | 5 | 6 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-1-02 \mathrm{c}$ | 4 | 7 | 9 | 13 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-1-03$ | 6 | 11 | 14 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-1-04$ | 28 | 49 | 65 | 94 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-1-05$ | 16 | 26 | 34 | 49 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-1-06$ | 9 | 16 | 20 | 29 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-2$ | 10 | 18 | 23 | 34 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-3-01$ | 22 | 39 | 51 | 74 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-3-02$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-4$ | 12 | 22 | 28 | 41 |
| $\mathrm{~A}-5$ | 59 | 100 | 131 | 189 |
| JOLLYVILLE ROAD OUTLET | 152 | 255 | 338 | 492 |

PEAK FLOW CHANGE: PROPOSED - EXISTING (CFS)

| HYDROLOGIC RESULTS | PEAK FLOW (CFS) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 - Y R}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y R}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y R}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 - Y R}$ |
| JOLLYVILLE ROAD OUTLET | -1 | -1 | -1 | -10 |

ATTACHMENT: 7. Option 2 - Alternative Outfall Alignment



## ATTACHMENT: 8. Cost Estimate Detail



## Notes:

1. Refer to TM01 for detail of design basis and assumptions.

Not to be used for construction, bidding, permitting or regulatory approval purposes. This document is released on 9/10/2019 for the purpose of interim review under the authority of Laura Casset,
Texas PE NO. 99387, Lockwood, Andrews \& Newnam, Inc., Texas Registered Engineering Firm - 2614
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